UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,597	04/16/2004	Ki-Hong Jang	JISU0041US	8044
24235 LEVINE & MA	7590 12/21/2006 ANDELBAUM		EXAM	INER
444 MADISON			LAMBELET, LAWRENCE EMILE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
35TH FLOOR NEW YORK, N	NY 10022			
			1732	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	12/21/2006	DAD	ED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	''		
Office Action Summary	10/825,597	JANG, KI-HONG Art Unit	
omeen caninally	Examiner Lawrence Lambelet	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this communicated the communication of the communication	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 April 2004.	•	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allo	•		s is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an 	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application –	

Application/Control Number: 10/825,597

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tortorice (U.S. Patent 6,000,410) in view of Chadwick et al (6,652,941), and further in view of Countryman (U.S. Patent 3,606,709).

Tortorice discloses a decorative brush handle reading on claim 2. Tortorice teaches a transparent molded plastic exterior shell having an interior cavity filled with a colored, or otherwise decorative, material visible therethrough. See lines 45-53 in column 3 and 30-50 in column 4.

Tortortice does not teach a molded core forming an insert for an overmolding step, as required by claim 2.

Chadwick et al, hereinafter "Chadwick", teaches an overmolding step to form a handle or grip of a device, such as a toothbrush. See lines 39-43 in column 3 and 9-20 in column 8.

Tortorice and Chadwick are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, toothbrushes. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to include the solid phase insert taught by

Application/Control Number: 10/825,597

Art Unit: 1732

Chadwick in the decorative method of Tortorice. The motivation to do so would have been to provide an underlying support structure. See Abstract in Chadwick.

Tortorice/Chadwick do not teach post-molding abading and polishing steps, as also required by claim 2.

Countryman teaches a finishing tool usable in sanding and polishing plastic surfaces. See lines 15-20 in column 1. If the material surface were sufficiently uneven, or if reduction in diameter were needed, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to first dress the article with lathe-cutting, a technique well-known in the art.

Tortorice/Chadwick and Countryman are combinable because they are concerned with a similar technical field, namely, optically smooth plastic surfaces. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to include the sanding technique of Countryman in the method of Tortorice/Chadwick. The motivation to do would have been to remove surface haze.

Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following documents are cited to further show the state of the art with regard to decorative brush handles.

- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0105916 (not prior art)
- U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0050662 (not prior art)

Application/Control Number: 10/825,597 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Lambelet whose telephone number is 571-272-1713. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LEL 2/17/2006

CHRISTINA JOHNSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1411/24